1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC., CONSUMER PRIVACY 10 MDL No. 2843 **USER PROFILE LITIGATION** Case No. 18-md-02843-VC 11 [PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: 12 **ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES** 13 **ALL ACTIONS** SHOULD BE RELATED 14 Judge: Hon. Vince Chhabria 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 CASE No. 3:18-MD-02843-VC [PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER 28 CASE No. 3:18-CV-05350-VC CASE No. 4:18-CV-05357-JSW

CASES SHOULD BE RELATED

Case 3:18-md-02843-VC Document 139 Filed 09/14/18 Page 2 of 2

1 The Court, having considered Co-Lead Counsel's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether 2 Cases Should Be Related Pursuant to Rule 3-12, (Doc. No. 135), and the Responses in Opposition 3 thereto, (Doc. Nos. ##), HEREBY DENIES the Administrative Motion to Relate Cases. 4 While Hwang v. Facebook, Inc., Case No. 4:18-cv-05357-JSW (the "Hwang Case"), and 5 Rankin v. Facebook, Inc., Case No. 3:18-cv-05350-VC (the "Rankin Case") (together, the "Device Manufacturer Cases") are related, the Device Manufacturer Cases do not concern substantially the 6 7 same parties, property, transaction, or event as those in *In re Facebook, Inc., Consumer Privacy User* 8 Profile Litigation, 3:18-md-02843-VC (the "Facebook MDL"). Civil L.R. 3-12(a)(1). 9 Further, it does not appear likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor or expense, because the Device Manufacturer Cases and the Facebook MDL concern significantly and 10 substantially different conduct, necessitating different discovery, labor, and expenses. Civil L.R. 3-11 12 12(a)(2). Finally, the Device Manufacturer Cases are before this Court, and the Facebook MDL is before 13 14 this Court. Therefore, there is no likelihood of conflicting results because the cases will not be 15 conducted before different judges. Civil L.R. 3-12(a)(2). 16 IT IS SO ORDERED. 17 DATED: 18 THE HONORABLE VINCE CHABBRIA 19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 CASE NO. 3:18-MD-02843-VC [PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER 28 CASE No. 3:18-CV-05350-VC CASES SHOULD BE RELATED CASE NO. 4:18-CV-05357-JSW